



ISSN 2091-5187

СЕРВИС

ИЛМИЙ-АМАЛИЙ
ЖУРНАЛ

2023
1-сон



СЕРВИС

ИЛМИЙ-АМАЛИЙ ЖУРНАЛ 2023 йил, 1-сон

Муассис: Самарқанд иқтисодиёт ва сервис институти

Ўзбекистон Матбуот ва ахборот агентлиги томонидан 2008 йил 31 декабрда
0561-рақам билан рўйхатга олинган.

ЎЗР ОАК Риёсатининг 19.03.2017 й., 239/5-сонли қарори билан эътироф этилган

**Таҳририят ижодий
жамоаси:**

Бош муҳаррир:

и.ф.д. **М.Э.Пўлатов**

Бош муҳаррир

ўринбосари:

профессор **Д.Х.Асланова**

Масъул котиб:

профессор **М.Қ.Пардаев**

Муҳаррирлар:

Ф.А.Сафаров

Ш.З.Ўрозов

Техник муҳаррир:

А.Н.Холиқулов

Корректор:

С.А.Бабаназарова

Саҳифаловчи:

Ҳ.Н.Очилова

1 йилда 4 мартаба
чоп этилади.

Ўзбекистон ҳудудида
тарқатилади.

Таҳририят манзили:

140100, Самарқанд шаҳар,
Амир Темур кўчаси, 9-уй,

тел.: +998(66)233-28-38,

факс: +998(366)231-12-53

эл.почта:

samisiservis@mail.ru

Таҳририят кенгаши раиси:

М.Э.Пўлатов – Самарқанд иқтисодиёт ва сервис институти
ректори, иқтисод фанлари доктори, профессор

Таҳририят кенгаши раиси ўринбосари:

Д.Х.Асланова – СамИСИ илмий ишлар ва инновациялар
бўйича проректори, иқтисод фанлари номзоди, профессор

Таҳрир кенгаши аъзолари:

Б.А.Бегалов – Ўзбекистон Республикаси Давлат Статис-
тика кўмитаси раиси, иқтисод фанлари доктори, профессор

М.Қ.Пардаев – СамИСИ профессори, и.ф.д.

Б.К.Ғоибназаров – иқтисод фанлари доктори, профессор.

Д.Р.Зайналов – СамИСИ каф.мудир, профессор, и.ф.д.

О.М.Муртазаев – ТДИУ Самарқанд филиали директори,
иқтисод фанлари доктори, профессор

М.Р.Болтабаев – Ўзбекистон Давлат жисмоний тарбия ва
спорт университети ректори, иқтисод фанлари доктори

Р.Х.Эргашев – ҚарМИИ профессори, и.ф.д.

И.С.Тўхлиев – СамИСИ профессори, и.ф.д.

М.М.Мухаммедов – СамИСИ профессори, и.ф.д.

К.Б.Уразов – СамИСИ профессори, и.ф.д.

Қ.Ж.Мирзаев – СамИСИ каф. мудир, и.ф.д., профессор

Б.И.Исроилов – ТДИУ профессори, и.ф.д.

Г.М.Шодиева – СамИСИ профессори, и.ф.д.

Б.Абдукаримов – СамИСИ профессори, и.ф.н.

Р.Қобилов – Самарқанд вилояти ҳокими ўринбосари

С.Н.Тошназаров – СамИСИ кафедра мудир, и.ф.д.

Р.Н.Нормахматов – СамИСИ профессори, т.ф.д.

О.М.Пардаев – СамИСИ декани, и.ф.д.

М.Т.Алимова – СамИСИ профессори, и.ф.д.

З.Дж. Адилова – ТДИУ профессори, и.ф.д.

Ш.О.Қувондиқов – СамИСИ доценти, и.ф.д.

ХИЗМАТ КЎРСАТИШ ВА СЕРВИС	
Илхом Ачилович Усманов Қурилиш соҳасида ўз-ўзини тартибга солишнинг жаҳон тажрибасини қўллаш	76
Юлдуз Пирназаровна Урунбаева Мухим стратегик вазифаларни ҳал этишда хизмат кўрсатиш соҳасининг ўрни	80
Фарход Анварович Сафаров Хизмат кўрсатиш соҳаси корхоналарида инновацияларни жорий этиш ҳолати ва истиқболлари	85
Насиба Раҳматуллаевна Эргашева Аутсорсинг хизматларининг иқтисодий моҳияти ва аҳамияти	91
ТУРИЗМ ВА МЕҲМОНХОНА ХЎЖАЛИГИ	
Зарина Улугбековна Ташкенбаева Важность создания положительного имиджа туристических организаций на рынке	96
Бехзод Бахтиёр ўғли Бекназаров Маданий мерос объектларига ташриф буюрувчилар ҳолати ва таҳлили	100
Adxam Anvarovich Karshiyev Turizm sohasini strategik rivojlantirishning ahamiyati	106
Zokirjon Erkinovich Mamarasulov, Izzatillo Xikmatilloevich Shukurov Turistlar marshrutlari uchun ovqatlanish ratsionini takomillashtirish	110
Бекназар Абдуҳалилович Султонов Самарқанд вилоятида гастрономик туристлар хулқ-атвори таҳлили	115
Азиз Қаҳрамонович Тўхтамишов Туризм соҳасида кластерлар ривожланишининг омиллари ва йўналишлари	119
Хонкул Қаҳхарович Самаров Миллий маданий мерос объектларидан фойдаланиб туризмни ривожлантириш истиқболлари	124
КИЧИК БИЗНЕС ВА ОИЛАВИЙ ТАДБИРКОРЛИК	
Gulnora Mardiyevna Shadiyeva The concept of "family economy", its development	129
МЕНЕЖМЕНТ ВА МАРКЕТИНГ	
Шоира Азимовна Мусаева, Дилфуза Илхомовна Усмонова “Sam antep gilam” МЧЖида маркетингни бошқариш элементларини янада ривожлантириш йўллари	134
Абдуҳолик Эгамович Тангиров Бизнес-моделларини ишлаб чиқиш	140
Наврўзжон Тўхтамишович Маҳкамов Корхоналарни барқарор ривожлантиришда сифат менежменти тизимининг роли	145

КИЧИК БИЗНЕС ВА ОИЛАВИЙ ТАДБИРКОРЛИК

Gulnora Mardiyevna Shadiyeva – Doctor of Economics, Associate Professor

THE CONCEPT OF "FAMILY ECONOMY", ITS DEVELOPMENT

Abstract: This article is devoted to the consideration of the issues related to the disclosure of the social and economic concept of “family economy”, its development and conceptual ways to raise the welfare.

Key words: family economy, household, housing (apartment) economy, family, family business.

Introduction. “The Family” concept emerged from the earliest stages of human development. This concept is honored and respected at all stages of society development as the initial stage of community development. In this regard, the family and its various problems have been studied recently and are being studied to some extent.

Laws on the legal, philosophical, and moral aspects of the family have been developed, and books and booklets have been published. However, in our opinion, it is important to admit that its economic aspects and problems are not properly comprehended.

It should be noted that with the formation of the family, the issue of economic security was considered in equal terms with other aspects of the family, and in some cases, it was given a priority. In this regard, when it comes to the economic security of a family, each member has sufficient functions. Herewith the head of the family was empowered with a noble mission.

The country has a tradition of honoring the family and preserving its past and relevant traditions. Family dynasties, the passing of a profession from one generation to the next one, a family genealogy for the preservation of the family’s history have been cherished by the majority of families. This factor implies the assigning to the family head not just social and moral responsibilities, but economic obligation as well.

However, the economy of the family, with all its aspects and peculiarities, has been poorly understood both in past and nowadays. For example, the works “Principles of Economics” by Marshall, “Principles of Political Economy” by Mill, and “Wealth of the People” by Smith have not thoroughly considered the concept of the family economy (Vidyapin and Juravleva, 1995).

Taking this fact into consideration, in our opinion, solution of the problem of researching the economic and social nature of the family, economic relationships, and the family and economies related thereto, is currently considered a high-priority goal for scholars in economics.

Literature review. After Uzbekistan gained its independence, a number of new concepts, including such concepts as “family”, “family economy”, “household”, “housing (apartment) economy”, “family business” emerged in the economic structure of the country and were admitted as subjects of the microeconomics. However, in terms of economics, their content has not been thoroughly revealed with the account of all peculiarities and in reliance upon the differences available. Various literary sources provide different opinions on these concepts.

“The defining dictionary of Uzbek language” (1981) provides the following definition to the term “family” as “A group of people consisting of a spouse, children and the closest relatives who live together”. In general, this definition can be admitted because it reflects the composition of the family, but here the family was treated only as a group of people living together. However, this definition does not reflect the socio-economic function of the family.

From the point of view of Ulmasov (1998): “A family is a complex micro-social structure that is based on the common needs of people, blood relations, common property and interests, and joint demands”. According to Ulmasov, social life starts with the family.

In the opinion of Balikoev, “A family is a small group of people who are based on kinship relationships to fulfill the social, economic and spiritual needs of the individual, family and society as a whole” (Balikoev, 2008).

This definition complies with the current era of market relations, as the family is becoming an economic entity, not just a consumer. In this framework, the family is considered as

a subgroup of a community united to meet social, economic and spiritual needs, rather than a combination of common people living together.

However, the definition of family as a subject of microeconomics is not adequately reflected in this definition. The terms of “family economy” and “household” are frequently applied. However, they are used as synonyms in many literary sources. It should be noted that some definite proposals have been formulated lately. To prove this statement we can give an example of Karimova’s opinion (1997). From the point of view of this economist: “Household is a subject of the economy, which consists of one leading an individual household independent or, more often, a group of people residing in one place and leading a common household. As a rule, such a group of persons is united by kinship or family ties”.

In our opinion, any definition of economic activity, especially family economy, should be based on its economic purpose. Any economic entity should have property, business activities and income from it and the right to own it. In describing the family economy, first of all, use the “family” definition is the most appropriate. However, if it is considered a family economy, it should have some family property, economic activity and family income. On the basis of this theoretical conclusion, a definition of a household is provided below.

The “family economy” concept implies an association of people connected by living together and living in one place. It may consist of even one person. The family is the basic unit of society, but it is also the cohabitation of a group of people connected by family ties or emotionally.

In the family economy the property belongs directly to the family, which production facilities are directly owned by the family, and both the workers and the beneficiaries of the labor should be members of that family.

From the point of view of Bulatov (2005): “A household is an economic agent that deals with household business, that is, consumption”. However, in part 2 of this book it is stated that “Microeconomics object is households, firms, industries, the market of goods and services, as well as the resources market”. It is obvious that the authors of this textbook have contradicting views on households and their role in the economy. If the household were only a consumer, it would not be a subject of the microeconomics. If it was considered as a subject of microeconomics, then the household should not be treated as just a consumer.

In our opinion, Popov (2006) developed a very accurate definition to the household as microeconomic subject. From his point of view, “A household is the primary form of entrepreneurial activity that seeks to minimize costs for achieving specific goals”.

It is appropriate that the household is viewed as a form of entrepreneurship. However, there are some drawbacks in this definition. It does not specify who or why somebody is involved in the household.

Professor Ulmasov (1998) directly equals the family with the household. Ulmasov supposes that: “From an economic point of view, the family is a household and is a key part of the microeconomic system. The rest of the group consists of firms, businesses and the economic sector”. From the definitions of the family and the household, we see that these are separate socioeconomic concepts.

The same ideas can be found in some classical literature. In general, in our opinion, the notion of a “household” must meet some requirements. For example, in a household, the property, as well as the production facilities, belongs to the family. However, it employs not only family members but also other hired workers. The efficient performance of the results of labour are accordingly enjoyed not only by family members but also by other people who participate in the working process. It is important to remember that within the framework of market relations, there is a great interest in labour. From this theoretical conclusion, a household can be described as follows.

A household consists of one or more people who live in the same dwelling and share meals. It may also consist of a single-family or another group of people. The household is the basic unit of analysis in many social, microeconomic, and government models, and is important to economics and inheritance. In fact, households may include other hired people in addition to

family members.

In general, whether it is a household, a housing (apartment) economy, or a family economy - they are all family-related entities. This relation started during the first economic formation and is still developing. The family has its own evolution as a subject of microeconomics. A family economy has given a rise to the development of other sectors of the economy. It has passed through various conditions. Finally, it has turned into a key unit of the economy.

This definition clearly states that in order to become a family-owned entrepreneur, property, activities, goals and activities must be freely chosen based on their own free will and ability. Thus, it is possible to expand the theoretical conclusions on the improvement of the family economy and family business. The theoretical arguments presented above provide the basis for our conclusion.

First, the family has become a subject of microeconomics in the free economy based on market relations.

Second, the family has the right to own property, the production facilities, labor force and income (profit) as a result of activity as an economic entity. Social benefits may be provided on the basis of family business as well.

For example, if we consider a teacher - entrepreneur or a doctor - entrepreneur. They continuously develop and improve their skills through training and qualification upgrading. They think that it is better for people than for improving their professional skills. Such activities will continue to be financially and morally encouraged by community members.

Third, it is based on the fact that the concept of a household is wider than the concepts of family economy and housing (apartment) economy. It indicates that the hired labor could be involved and that the profits could be distributed to the hired worker according to their labour volume.

Fourth, family entrepreneurship is also a type of entrepreneurship that relies on family property and the labour force of family members.

Finally, the important conceptual directions for improving the welfare of families now are not only a way to raise salaries, but also to raise their income (profit) through the development of entrepreneurship of family members, utilizing property and efficiency of managing an economic entity.

Research methodology. In the process of illuminating this article, the laws of the Republic of Uzbekistan on entrepreneurship, the decree of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan, the books of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan, the content and essence of the scientific works of economists in the socio-economic fields were studied, and the existing economic indicators of the development of the family business sector were analyzed.

Analysis and discussions. One of the essential aspects of improving the welfare of the family is a solution of the theoretical issues. However, the economic literature has not yet developed a comprehensive definition of family welfare concept. Therefore, this problem is also one of the problems which require being resolved.

Studies have shown that settlement of the family economy business can solve many social and economic problems in our country. First of all, it will create a class of small business and private entrepreneurship, which is the basic driving force of market relations and which will enable to enrich the domestic market with consumer goods and services, as well as solve the urgent problem of unemployment through the creation of new jobs. However, family economy business does not develop by itself. In this regard it is necessary to develop it at the present level, to bring it to a higher level of competitive products (works, services). This requires researching the theoretical and practical issues of this problem and developing appropriate recommendations aimed at their improvement.

Currently the entrepreneurship of the family business is constantly developing in Uzbekistan. To ensure and accelerate its development, it is necessary to establish the relevant family business associations. Large-scale social and economic problems for the development, improvement and raising welfare of the family economy can be solved through these

associations. In the future, it is necessary to solve the problems associated with the establishment of family business associations in our country. Working out development concepts of such non-government organizations is currently considered to be a priority issue.

It should be noted that the deepening and liberalization of economic reforms in Uzbekistan are modifying the content and essence of the income of the family economy. After all, Uzbekistan is pursuing a social policy that serves the interests of all members of society and all segments of the population. This, in turn, has necessitated study of structural transformations, as well as the development of efficient tools to support the low-income population. However, a comprehensive research on this area hasn't been implemented yet. Therefore, one of the most urgent current problems is the development of ways to improve the welfare of the family through the reduction of a number of low income families.

The formation of market relations requires each family economy to take into account the income and its structural changes because property and income of the family economy, as mentioned above, on the one hand constitute a part of the national wealth of the state, and on the other hand, the object of taxation. In addition, the criteria for measuring family welfare, its low provision and wealth are based on these indicators.

Taking into account the impact of structural changes in income and expenditure on the household welfare, it is necessary to theoretically justify the differentiation of income and expenditure. It has been concluded that this problem should be investigated in the following areas: the difference between residents of cities with urban settlements and rural settlements; the difference between the income of families working in various fields; differences in the number of family members engaged in the household. The solution of these problems will be comprehensively considered in this research paper.

Liberalization of the economy, formation of market relations has led to a radical change in the essence of family income and related circumstances. These changes are reflected in the following factors: a new economic category - the category of labor force - has been introduced into our socio-economic life; the labor market has emerged with the account of the demand and supply; absolute and relative unemployment resulting from fluctuations in supply and demand for the labor force have been occurred; family members and population are gradually moving towards the principle of self-employment based on the development of entrepreneurship; new sources emerged in the family income - income from the use of property and entrepreneurial activity; while in the past only rent used to constitute income from the property, now such types of income as dividends, interest, investment yield income have appeared and are currently applied.

The results of the research illustrate that one of the basic ways to raise family property and income is acquiring the income from the property use. With the account of this essential factor, we have developed the ways to enhance the property and income of the family by placing excessive property objects in the investments. It should be noted that this approach requires determining current and net values, as well as working out the methods of using discounted ratio. This issue still remains as a problem which must be solved.

Formation of market relations requires a family business entrepreneur to be proficient not only in entrepreneurship, but also in economic matters. Comprehensive economic knowledge of the entrepreneur generates more income for the family and, the most significant, increases the wealth. More importantly, the property volume increases with the property use. This will be the basis for the economic prosperity of the family economy, as well as the entire population and the state.

Thus, the well-being of the family increases with the application of a variety of ways and tools. This fact justifies the emergence of another economic pillar to ensure ultimate goal of Uzbekistan – to achieve a unique and prosperous development of our motherland.

Herewith we consider some ideas about family economy. This is because prosperity of the family economy and family economy business concepts are often treated as the same. In this regard, we would like to share our views without doing the theoretical analysis of ideas in economic literature. In reliance upon the concept of “business”, the family economy should be

considered as a business that generates income (profit). If it is based on this theory, it is best to define it as follows. Family economy business is a type of commercial activity (primarily focused on making profit), characterized by its belonging to a particular family or several families, whose members are married to each other through dynastic marriages and other informal ties, are owners and beneficiaries of this business. The distribution of key positions and roles in the created business entities, profits and dividends received from their activities is also carried out within a family or group of families.

Conclusion and suggestions. The importance of this process goes beyond just the issues discussed. Being engaged in the family economy or a household, doing family business lead not just to the increase the welfare of the family, but also provides the population with more job places through creating new job places. The government, in turn, will raise its welfare due to the taxes collected. The products manufactured by family economies not only satisfy their own needs, but also fill the market with products (works, services). The most significant, its spiritual value is also very high as it releases the population from the spirit of dependency, provides and encourages initiative and motivation. This will eventually increase the proactivity of the society, the population, and accelerate the formation of democratic principles of market relations.

Currently in Uzbekistan the population of Uzbekistan accounts for 36,02 million people as of January 1, 2023. The average family member in the country constitutes 5,1 persons. According to our estimates, the number of families in our country is over 7,1 million. If at least one entrepreneur is raised out of each family, another 7,1 million additional work places will be created throughout the country. This is one of the key factors ensuring the diversification of the domestic consumer market. If each entrepreneur brings an average profit of 500,000 soums to his family in one year, this means 3,550,000 million soums for the well-being of the family. soum will bring additional benefits. On average, 15 percent of them go to the state (local) budget as taxes and other payments, and another 532,500 mln. soum generates additional income.

It should be noted that the top-target goal of reforms in our country is to create decent living conditions for our people and each family. This is, definitely, a very complicated and responsible task. To achieve this goal, it is not enough to implement just practical activities. It also requires a comprehensive study of this area and the development of scientifically sound recommendations for the welfare of every family.

Thus, it is obvious that the transformation of the family economy into a subject of microeconomics is not only economic but also of significant social and spiritual importance, which can accelerate the formation of market relations in the country, as well as facilitate the liberalization of the economy and modernization of the society.

References

1. Pardayeva O.M. Ways to develop and improve efficiency of family entrepreneurship in the service sector. Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) thesis on economics. Samarkand, 2020, p. 60;
2. Mardievna, S. G., & Boltaevna, S. F. (2021). The role of public-private partnerships in attracting investment projects in the transport sector. Journal of Contemporary Issues in Business and Government Vol, 27(6).
3. Shodieva, G. M. (2008). Problems of organizational and economic factors and service development in the improvement of family welfare (Doctoral dissertation, Dissertation for the degree of Doctor of Economics. Samarkand).
4. 2. Decree of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan No. PF-60 dated January 28, 2022 "On the development strategy of the new Uzbekistan for 2022-2026".

G.Shadiyeva	Г.Шадиева
«Oila iqtisodiyoti» tushunchasi, uning rivojlanishi	Понятие «Семейная экономика», ее развитие
Annotatsiya: Ushbu maqola “oilaviy iqtisodiyot”ning ijtimoiy-iqtisodiy konsepsiyasi, uning rivojlanishi va farovonligini oshirishning konseptual yo‘llarini ko‘rib chiqishga bag‘ishlangan.	Аннотация: Данная статья посвящена рассмотрению социально-экономического понятия «семейная экономика», концептуальных путей повышения ее развития и благосостояния.
Kalit so‘zlar: oila iqtisodi, uy xo‘jaligi, xonadon xo‘jaligi, oila, oilaviy biznes.	Ключевые слова: семейная экономика, домашнее хозяйство, жилищная (квартирная) экономика, семья, семейный бизнес.

ISSN 2091-5187

☞ “СЕРВИС” ☞

Журнал Самарқанд иқтисодиёт ва сервис институти таҳририят
бўлимида нашрга тайёрланди.

9.03.2023 йилда теришга берилди. 22.03.2023 йилда босишга рухсат этилди.
Офсет босма қоғози. Қоғоз бичими 60x84_{1/8}. “Times” гарнитураси. Офсет
босма усули. Шартли босма табағи 14,6. Ҳисоб-нашриёт
табағи 12,5. Адади 100 нусха. Буюртма № 0016 А/23.

Самарқанд иқтисодиёт ва сервис институтининг
матбаа бўлимида чоп этилди.
Лицензия № 025316.
Реестр № Х-119112.

Манзил: Самарқанд шаҳри, Шохрух кўчаси, 60-уй.

© Самарқанд иқтисодиёт ва сервис институти, 2023